

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	io.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/367,778		08/18/1999	PETER LIGGESMEYER	P99.0101	4756	
21171	7590	03/18/2004		EXAMINER		
STAAS & HALSEY LLP				TSAI, CAROL S W		
SUITE 70 1201 NE		AVENUE, N.W.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
		OC 20005	2857			
				DATE MAILED: 03/18/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					N			
		Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/367,7	778	LIGGESMEYER, PE	TER			
	Office Action Summary	Examine	r	Art Unit				
		Carol S 1	rsai .	2857				
<i> آ</i> Period for F	he MAILING DATE of this commu Reply	nication appears on th	e cover sheet with t	he correspondence addr	ess			
THE MA - Extension after SIX - If the per - If NO per - Failure to Any reply	TENED STATUTORY PERIOD F ILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN as of time may be available under the provision (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this com lod for reply specified above is less than thirty ( iod for reply is specified above, the maximum so reply within the set or extended period for reply received by the Office later than three months atent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no e munication. 30) days, a reply within the statutory period will apply and y will, by statute, cause the ac	vent, however, may a reply atutory minimum of thirty (30 will expire SIX (6) MONTHS plication to become ABAND	be timely filed  ) days will be considered timely, from the mailing date of this component (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.			
Status								
1)⊠ Re	esponsive to communication(s) fil	ed on 16 January 20	04.					
•	•	2b)⊠ This action is						
,								
•	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition	of Claims							
4a 5)□ Cl 6)⊠ Cl 7)□ Cl	Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application	Papers							
9)[] Th	e specification is objected to by the	ne Examiner.						
10)∐ Th	e drawing(s) filed on is/are	e: a) accepted or b	) objected to by t	he Examiner.				
Ap	plicant may not request that any obje	ection to the drawing(s)	be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	placement drawing sheet(s) includin e oath or declaration is objected t							
Priority und	ler 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)⊠ 1. 2. 3.	knowledgment is made of a claim  All b) Some * c) None of:  Certified copies of the priority  Copies of the certified copies  application from the Internation the attached detailed Office action	or documents have be or documents have be or of the priority documental Bureau (PCT Ru	en received en received in Appli nents have been rec ule 17.2(a)).	ication No eived in this National St	tage			
Attachment(s)			_					
2) Notice o 3) Informat	f References Cited (PTO-892)  f Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review ( on Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 ob(s)/Mail Date		Paper No(s)/Ma	mary (PTO-413) ail Date nal Patent Application (PTO-1	52)			

Application/Control Number: 09/367,778 Page 2

Art Unit: 2857

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 1/16/2004 has been entered.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims1-6 and 8-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U. S. Patent No. 5,161,115 to Teshima et al.

With respect to claims 1, 4-6, and 8-11, Teshima et al. disclose a method for computer-supported error analysis of at least one of sensors and actuators in a technical system, the error analysis being in a form of a finite state description that exhibits states of the technical system, the method using a computer, comprising the steps of: a) determining a finite state description of the technical system for an error case of an error of at least one of a sensor and an actuator in the technical system (see col. 2, lines 51-64; col. 6, lines 36-41 and lines 57-59; col.

lines 32 to col. 10, line 22).

6, line 60 to col. 7, line 4; and col. 11, lines 29-40); b) determining a first set of achievable states for the technical system without errors using the finite state description; c) determining a second set of achievable states for the technical system having an error, using the finite state description d)forming a difference set from the first set and the second set; and e) determining result conditions from the difference set, the result conditions meeting prescribable conditions (see Figs. 10-12; col. 2, lines 35-50; col. 5, lines 3-31; col. 6, line 60 to col. 8, line 46; and col. 9,

As to claims 2 and 3, Teshima et al. also disclose method steps a) through e) being implemented for all possible errors of sensors and actuators in the technical system (see Fig. 3; col. 4, lines 3-9; col. 7, lines 42-61; and col. 8, lines 34-46).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Teshima et al. in view of U. S. Patent No. 5,680,322 to Raimi et al.

As noted above, Teshima et al. disclose the claimed invention, except for the finite state description being realized by a finite automat formed as a binary decision diagram.

Raimi et al. teach the finite state description being realized by a finite automat formed as a binary decision diagram (see col. 7, lines 5-53).

Application/Control Number: 09/367,778 Page 4

Art Unit: 2857

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Teshima et al.'s method to include the finite state description being realized by a finite automat formed as a binary decision diagram, as taught by Raimi et al., because a finite state machine having the symbolic representation of sets of states and of Boolean functions with the aid of binary decision diagram can often describe a FSM much more efficiently and concisely than explicit descriptions, such as explicit state graphs or explicit state tables (see col. 7, lines 45-49).

# Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-11 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### **Contact Information**

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Carol S. Tsai whose telephone number is (703) 305-0851. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 7:30 AM to 4:00 PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marc S. Hoff can be reached on (703) 308-1677. The fax number for TC 2800 is (703) 308-7382. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the TC 2800 receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1782.

In order to reduce pendency and avoid potential delays, Group 2800 is encouraging FAXing of responses to Office actions directly into the Group at (703) 308-7382. This practice

Application/Control Number: 09/367,778 Page 5

Art Unit: 2857

may be used for filing papers not requiring a fee. It may also be used for filing papers which require a fee by applicants who authorize charges to a PTO deposit account. Please identify the examiner and art unit at the top of your cover sheet. Papers submitted via FAX into Group 2800 will be promptly forwarded to the examiner.

Carol S. W. Tsai Patent Examiner

als. M. 5

Art Unit 2857

02/25/04